Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,443	OLDENBURG, MICHAEL R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	*
	Alison K. Pickard	3673	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		***
(1) Alison K. Pickard.	(3)		
(2) <u>R. Wangerow</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 April 2006</u>	Time:		***
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		·
Part I.			*
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed: 48, 49, 65			**
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			•
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Monch			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nnature – if appr	onriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to discuss minor changes to put the claims in condition for allowance. The changes to claims 48 and 49 were to correct a 112 2nd problem and to provide proper antecedent basis for the flange housing portion. Claim 65 depended on a cancelled claim, and claim 64 includes the language of 65 already. The changes were approved and entered via examiner's amendment.